

Richmond City Public Schools

FFY 2017 SPECIAL EDUCATION PERFORMANCE REPORT

Richmond City Public Schools
301 North 9th St 17th floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219-1927

The *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA) requires each state to report to the public on state-level data and individual school division-level data and to report on whether the state and the divisions met state targets described in the state's special education [State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Report](#). This report compares the division's performance to the State's target.

Indicator 1: Graduation

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance (based on data from 2016-2017)	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
Percent of youth with IEPs graduation from high school with a regular diploma	45.13%	≥56.0%	No

Indicator 2: Dropouts

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance (based on data from 2016-2017)	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
Students with disabilities grades 7-12 who dropped out	4.93%	≤1.50%	No

Indicator 3: Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessments

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
3b. Students with disabilities participation rate for English/reading	95.85%	≥95.0%	Yes
3b. Students with disabilities participation rate for math	95.80%	≥95.0%	Yes
3c. Students with disabilities proficiency rate for English/reading	34.84%	≥41.0%	No
3c. Students with disabilities proficiency rate for math	29.31%	≥43.0%	No

Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Significant Discrepancy
4a. Division identified with significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs	Yes
The VDOE concluded that the policies, procedures or practices contributed to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	No
4b. Division identified with significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs	Yes
The VDOE concluded that the policies, procedures or practices contributed to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	No

Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
5a. Students included in regular classroom 80% or more of the day	26.30%	$\geq 70.0\%$	No
5b. Students included in regular classroom less than 40% of the day	23.30%	$\leq 8.0\%$	No
5c. Students served in separate public or private school, residential, home-based or hospital facility	6.05%	$\leq 2.5\%$	No

Indicator 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
6a. Children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attend a regular early childhood program and receive the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	1.66%	$\geq 34.0\%$	No
6b. Children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attend a separate special education class, separate school, or residential facility	29.90%	$\leq 19.0\%$	No

Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes

Indicator Description	Outcome	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
7a. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	A1. % entered below age expectations	93.18%	≥90.0%	Yes
	A2. % functioning within age expectations	23.86%	≥57.8%	No
7b. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)	B1. % entered below age expectations	95.45%	≥93.9%	Yes
	B2. % functioning within age expectations	35.23%	≥46.9%	No
7c. Use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs	C1. % entered below age expectations	92.05%	≥90.9%	Yes
	C2. % functioning within age expectations	47.73%	≥65.2%	No

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
Parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	87.34%	≥74.0%	Yes

Indicator 9: Districts with Disproportionate Representation in Special Education and Related Services

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Disproportionate Representation Determination
Division identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification	No

Indicator 10: Districts with Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Disproportionate Representation Determination
Division identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification	Yes

Indicator 11: Timeline for Eligibility

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
Children with parental consent for initial evaluation, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 65 business days	97.99%	100%	No

Indicator 12: Part C to Part B Transition

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
Children determined eligible and IEPs developed and implemented by their third birthdays	100%	100%	Yes

Indicator 13: Secondary IEP Goals and Transition Services

Indicator Description	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	100%	100%	Yes

Indicator 14: Postsecondary Outcomes

Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school were:	2017-2018 Division Performance	2017-2018 State Target	State Target Met
14a. Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	22.48%	≥36.0%	No
14b. Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	76.74%	≥63.5%	Yes
14c. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	85.27%	≥72.0%	Yes