Collection of Evidence 12

When reviewed against the End-of-Course (EOC) Writing Performance Level Descriptors (2017), the overall writing quality of this collection of evidence demonstrates the achievement level of Fail/Does Not Meet.

Each writing sample fails to establish a **logical thesis due to the lack of a clear position or purpose**. In the first and third samples, the writing tends to advocate or argue for both sides of an issue (*So basically what I'm trying to tell you is that there's no point having a curfew, well I understand having a curfew at 11 or at 12.*) (The meditation and therapeutic activities are understandable for the child. It can also help the child but, children will need punishments for his or her actions.), though a **simple thesis** is more apparent in the third sample (*Removing suspensions in schools would be a really bad idea because the child would not learn to not do it again.*). The second writing sample makes an attempt to provide a **central idea** (*Gothic elements are the building blocks to Gothic literature....Gothic elements can differ from many things to architecture, tyrannical, depression, mental illness, and many more.*), but the **purpose** is weakened due to **limited supporting evidence and illogical relationships between and among ideas**.

The quoted material included in the second and third writing samples detracts from the volume of original student work, and often it is not used to provide relevant evidence, support claims, or draw conclusions. The first sample contains some basic information and limited evidence (I had experiences in the past were I came late home and it was past curfew and sometimes I feel there should not be a curfew for teenagers or anyone, because it stops people from having fun or worst, What if I had an emergency were I had to come outside of my house.), but relationships between and among ideas are difficult to discern (I could also say that having curfews could help teenagers get some sleep, people say teenagers should get more sleep than a toddlers and a adult.). All samples contain instances of information that become off-topic due to a lack of support or connection to established ideas (School's should also not include yoga because it's expensive and also it takes away time for the child to learn.); however, organization to **demonstrate unity** is apparent, though limited, in the second sample (...a perfect example of a gothic elements is mental illness...Another example of gothicism is supernatural). The samples contain a limited variety of sentence structures, with some repetitive constructions that detract from the writing (he was....He heard...he thinks....He opens....He asks....) (This can cause....It can also help....This also can be solved....This will help....), and word choice often lacks specificity or awareness to audience (In conclusion gothicism is a very good literature to read, it's very interesting and mischievous, It's also mysterious.).

Control of **sentence formation, usage, and mechanics** is inconsistent in the samples. Run-on sentences in the first sample and vague pronouns in the third sample diminish the writing, causing some points to become incoherent. Sentences that do not maintain **standard usage** also detract from the overall quality

Annotation for Collection of Evidence 12
Performance Assessment for Verifying Credits in Writing (End-of-Course)

of the writing (*School can also learn kids to not do bad things*....), and control of **mechanics** is present, but inconsistent.

The collection of evidence demonstrates inconsistent control of the features expected for student writing at the end of the course, indicating a performance level of Fail/Does Not Meet. The collection of evidence does not meet the requirements for awarding a Verified Credit in Writing.